

Project Sponsor: _____

Number: _____

Date: _____

POINTS

_____ 1. **PUBLIC HEALTH BENEFITS** (0 to 20 pts)
(14.8% of total points available)

Correct acute public health hazards - 20 pts.

Examples for Water Projects:

Nitrate MCL violations for four consecutive quarters, other chemicals greater than or equal to 50% above MCL, fecal coliform present, turbidity MCL violations, no filtration for surface waters, no disinfection, or other similar situations.

Examples for Sewer Projects:

Documentation of failing septic systems/straight pipes and samples showing high levels of fecal contamination (water body is in non-compliance with Water Quality Standards.)

Correct chronic public health hazards - 15 pts.

Examples for Water Projects:

Chemical MCL violations for four consecutive quarters less than 50% above the MCL, total coliform present, no water for a week or more multiple times a year, not in compliance with the SWTR, or other similar situations.

Examples for Sewer Projects:

Documentation of failing septic systems/straight pipes in the project area or CSO/SSO discharges to sensitive areas, potable water contamination, or other similar situations.

Correct Periodic Public Health Hazards - 10 pts.

Examples for Water Projects:

Periodic exceedence of primary MCL, water outages for at least a day, low chlorine residuals, low water pressures, or other similar situations.

Examples for Sewer Projects:

CSO/SSO discharges, impact on potable water quality, or other similar situations.

Correct Potential Public Health Hazards - 5 pts.

Examples for Water Systems:

Bring existing facilities up to current design standards, water line extensions for areas with aesthetically poor water quality or limited quantity, or other similar situations.

Examples for Sewer Projects:

Comply with current design standards and extensions to areas without documentation of failing septic systems/straight pipes or other similar situations.

_____ 2. **ECONOMIC OR HOUSING DEVELOPMENT BENEFITS** (0 to 20 pts)
(14.8% of total points available)

_____ BONUS (3 or 5 points)

20	10	0
Identified state or local economic impact	Potential, state/local economic impact	No identified impact
Active prospect identified by development authority or by regional council	Potential prospect noted in application	No development cited
Documented growth area with specific needs identified for housing or economic development	State supported industrial park with other funding	No economic growth or housing growth cited
Growth Area – Demonstrated need for housing units	Local housing market need identified	No stated housing impact

Comments on ranking items:

- Rehabilitation of existing facilities will not rank well unless the capacity is being raised for a specific project.
- Some applicants believe a description of negative economic conditions should rank well to help improve conditions.
- If the WV Development Office and the West Virginia Housing Development Fund both rank a project with 10 or 20 points for two distinct reasons, the score will be increased by 3 and 5 points respectively to reflect economic AND housing benefits.
- Applicants should be encouraged to list business, commercial and housing activity in the project area.

_____ 3. **COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS** (0 to 20 pts)
(14.8% of total points available)

Correction of chronic non-compliance (20 pts.)

Examples:

Complying with court orders, administrative orders, implementing allocations of an approved TMDL, SDWA regulations, water pressure requirements under normal operating conditions, or other similar situations.

Correction of periodic and potential non-compliance

Examples: (15 pts.)

Complying with Water Quality Standard based NPDES permit requirements or significant deficiencies as identified by a sanitary survey.

Examples: (10 pts.)

Complying with non-Water Quality Standard NPDES Permit requirements, sanitary survey recommendations (other than significant deficiencies), new regulations, water pressure requirements under fire flow conditions, or other similar situations.

Protection against non-compliance (5 pts.)

Examples:

Complying with proposed regulations, or other similar situations.

_____ 4. **AVAILABILITY OF ALTERNATIVE SOURCES OF FUNDING**

The availability of alternative sources of funding is considered by the Funding Committee.

_____ 5. **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE CAPABILITIES** (0 to 5 pts)
(3.7% of total points available)

___ *Asset Management Plan (1pt)*

___ *Capacity Development Assessment (1pt)*

___ *Project will reduce Unaccounted for Water to $\leq 15\%$ (2 pts)*

___ *Project will significantly reduce infiltration & inflow (2 pts)*

___ *Project Sponsor has completed PSC Performance Measures (1pt)*

_____ **6. READINESS TO PROCEED (previously *OTHER*) (0 to 50 pts)**
(37% of total points available)

Water Project:

- ___ Preliminary Title Opinion (15 pts)
- ___ Health Department Permit (10 pts)
- ___ Design Loan/Grant (10 pts)
- ___ 80% of User Agreements (5 pts)
- ___ Committed Funds (1 pt per source - Maximum 5 pts)
- ___ Rule 42 (2 pts)
- ___ Rate Ordinance/19A Case (2 pts)
- ___ PSD Boundary Adjustment (1 pt)

Sewer Project:

- ___ Preliminary Title Opinion (20 pts)
- ___ Health Department Permit/SRF Approval (10 pts)
- ___ Design Loan/Grant (10 pts)
- ___ Committed Funds (1 pt per source - Maximum 5 pts)
- ___ Rule 42 (2 pts)
- ___ Rate Ordinance/19A Case (2 pts)
- ___ PSD Boundary Adjustment (1 pt)

_____ **7. **DEGREE TO WHICH THE PROJECT ENCOURAGES CONSOLIDATION****

Consolidation issues are considered by the Technical Review Committee and the Consolidation Committee. The Consolidation issue has been adequately addressed prior to a project reaching the ranking process; therefore no point values are associated with this category.

_____ **8. **COST EFFECTIVENESS** (0 to 5 pts)**
(3.7% of total points available)

Based on total project cost and customers, cost effectiveness is now recommended to be measured by cost per customer. This category will be accomplished by the PSC.

- ___ \$1 to \$10,000 per customer (5 pts)
- ___ \$10,001 to \$15,000 per customer (4 pts)
- ___ \$15,001 to \$19,000 per customer (3 pts)
- ___ \$19,001 to \$23,000 per customer (2 pts)
- ___ \$23,001 to \$25,000 per customer (1 pt)
- ___ > \$25,000 per customer (0 pts)

_____ 9. **STATE / REGIONAL / LOCAL PLANNING GOALS** (0 to 5 pts)
(3.7% of total points available)

	5	3	0
	Fulfills State or Economic Development Goals	Some Local Impact	Nothing (No regional comments, zero on Economic Review, no defined local initiatives)
Compliance			
Health			
Clearly defined relationship to Regional Council goals			
Fulfills other state or local initiatives			
Acquisition of failing or abandoned utility			

_____ 10. **COMPLIANCE WITH PSC ORDER** (0 to 10 pts)
(7.5% of total points available)

Proposed projects which enable or permit the utility to gain and/or maintain compliance as directed by Public Service Commission Orders.

TOTAL POINTS: _____

Total point available: 135